

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| 09/674,031 | 10/25/2000 | Yoshio Akiyama | 107688 | 9166 |
| 25944 | 7590 10/06/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| | BERRIDGE, PLC | | NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. BOX 1 | 9928 RIA, VA 22320 | | | |
| / LDD/II II LD | , 22020 | | 1772 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/06/200 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) | P | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/674,031 | AKIYAMA ET AL. | '' / | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examin r | Art Unit | 1 | | | | |
| | Patricia L. Nordmeyer | 1772 | • | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disapp | proved by the Exam | niner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | - | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/674,031

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continuation of #2: Applicant has amended independent claim 1 by adding proposed new limitations "wherein the vertical, curved rib is contiguous with the left and right edges of the label", which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of #5: The application is not placed in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument for claim 1 is drawn to a proposed claim amendment, which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claim. Specifically, the applicant's argument drawn to the limitation "wherein the vertical, curved rib is contiguous with the left and right edges of the label" has not been entered. Therefore, the argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's argument is not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection in Paper #12, mailed on June 23, 2003.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

9/3/03